

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,297	10/31/2003	T. William Hutchens	016866-001514	2693	
20350	7590 06/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEND AN	ALEXANDER, LYLE			
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FLO	RCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 1743				
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\sim$			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- <del> </del>			
		10/700,297	HUTCHENS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (D) (35 U.S.C. 6 133).				
Status		'					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>74,75 and 78-109</u> is/are pending in the	• •					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-109</u> is/are allowed.						
	☐ Claim(s) <u>74-75 and 78-80</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alaatiaa yaasiisamaat					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '				
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(c)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			

Art Unit: 1743

In response to the 4/19/06 remarks and amendments the art of record has been overcome for the reasons of record. Upon updating the search, a new reference has been found that is pertinent to claims 74-75 and 78-80.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 74-75 and 78-80 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,21 and 31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,897,072. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to a mass spectrometry probe with a sample presenting surface having a bound biotin group.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 81-109 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

\*\*\*